



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,669	08/27/2001	Jens Petersen	60117.000004	2508

7590 03/01/2006  
Stanislaus Aksman  
Hunton & Williams  
Suite 1200  
1900 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006

EXAMINER

AZPURU, CARLOS A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1615

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/938,669	Applicant(s) PETERSEN, JENS	
	Examiner Carlos A. Azpuru	Art Unit 1615	

**All Participants:**

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(2) Stan Azman
**Status of Application:** Non Final

(3) Victoria Silcott

(4) PIERRE KARY
**Date of Interview:** 2/23/2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

Pending rejections were discussed to differentiate the polymers of the references from those of the instant claims.

**Claims discussed:**
all.
**Prior art documents discussed:**
RU 1129, EP 1232, GB 1578, Reissue 913
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

It was agreed that the specific differences between the instant claims & the polymers of each reference would be detailed in the response.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

It was also agreed that claim language would state that the polyacrylamide backbone claimed is substantially free of other polymer content unless a waste support can be found for the term "predominantly". Method of using claims will also be added. It was agreed that the instant claims appear to be allowable over the prior art.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Reviewing a Supplement Search